Proposed Milton Quarry East Extension JART COMMENT SUMMARY TABLE – Financial Impact

Please accept the following as feedback from the Milton Quarry Joint Agency Review Team (JART). Fully addressing each comment below will help expedite the potential for resolutions of the consolidated JART objections and individual agency objections. Additional, new comments may be provided once a response has been prepared to the comments raised below and additional information provided.

JART Comments (October 2022)		Reference	Source of Comment	Applicant Response (December 2022)	JART Response (April 2023)
Report/Date: Fiscal Impact Study November 15, 2021		Autho	or: Altus Grou	•	
1. In general, the fiscal impact study prepared by Altus focuses o receive (e.g. property taxes, TOARC fees, etc.). With respect tapproach taken is based on incremental assessment rather that the relative share of non-residential expenditures is based on tassessment relative to residential assessment as opposed to temployment. With respect to the anticipated tonnage of aggregate to be extraount utilized in the analysis is in addition to the current extra be extracted. If the amount utilized is not additional to the exist amounts will replace the existing), this would imply that the revito existing revenues. If the argument of the applicant is that wirevenue would no longer be provided to the Town and Region, include a scenario which identifies the fiscal impact of this optic located in Halton Hills, if the tonnage is a replacement of existing Hills will receive aggregate revenues, and Milton may lose aggregate.	o operating expenditures, the an incremental employment. Further, the amount of non-residential he split of population versus racted, it is unclear whether the action amount, or the total tonnage to ting amount (i.e. the new extraction renues identified are not incremental thout the quarry extensions, the the fiscal impact study should also on. Further, as the expansion is ng extraction amounts, then Halton	General	Watson & Associates	The tonnage utilized in the analysis is based on the continuation of extraction that the approval of the proposal would allow. Without approval, the existing quarry would be exhausted and the current aggregate levy fees would end. The analysis treats the continuation of aggregate levy fee revenues as incremental as compared to a scenario where the approval is not given, the revenues would fall to zero. It is estimated that at current extraction rates, the current quarry will be depleted in 2-3 years.	Confirmed in December 7, 2022 submission, no further questions.
2. This section outlines the assumptions utilized in the fiscal impart data source and the tonnage of aggregate anticipated to be expected to be expected. It is unclear if the average extraction amount of 5.5 million ton current extraction levels or replacing some portion of the existic Section 3 of the Traffic Impact Study/Haul Route Assessment submission package), it is stated that "the extension is not projinput from the project team". Whether the tonnage is increment amounts should be clarified in the analysis. It is also stated that have to be removed, which would reduce annual extraction amounts incremental, or part of the section of the financial information return (FIR) data and tax rates utilized data. Given that 2021 data is now available, it is suggested that used to update the analysis (along with other changes noted in Section 2.2 of the Altus Report discusses the net change in mutax revenues. There are two areas of discussion regarding this tax class assumptions. These are discussed further below:	nes per year will be in addition to ng extraction amounts. Based on (included as part of the Applicant's ected to increase production as per tal or is replacing existing extraction at the main processing plant may nounts to 2,000,000 tonnes. Similarly, he existing extraction amounts is d in this report are based on 2019 at the most recent information be in this peer review).	Fiscal Impact Analysis: Assumptions & Inputs	Watson & Associates	We have utilized 2019 FIR data as 2020 FIR and 2021 FIR data, both on the cost and revenue side, are likely affected by municipal operations being affected by COVID shutdowns and slowdowns in general activity. Utilizing the current plant, the site will only have capacity to produce up to 5.5 million tonnes per year. If this plant is removed and a portable plant is required only 2 million tonnes per year will be produced. While 5.5 million tonnes is possible, the total amount of tonnage in the proposed additional extraction area is finite. At 5.5 million tonnes per year, it would take roughly 3 years to exhaust At 2.0 million tonnes per year, it would take 7-8 years to exhaust. At the end of either period, the aggregate levy fees would be the same, except in the latter case, they would be lower on an annual basis, but provided for longer. If the application does not progress quickly,	Confirmed in December 7, 2022 submission, no further questions.

				Dufferin would need to access aggregate under the current main operating facility. Given the possibility that the annual extraction amount of 2 million tonnes, we will revise the analysis to reflect this scenario. This analysis has been provided in the separate Altus memo attached.	
3.	The rehabilitation of the site and long-term monitoring of the water supply, along with any related costs such as pumping, would be the financial responsibility of the applicant. Although this is a cost to be funded by the applicant, should the applicant no longer own/maintain the property in the future (e.g. through bankruptcy or other means), the costs may be borne by the municipality. The applicant may note that agreements will be pursued with landowners, however, under these agreements are in place, the applicant should provide further details on how they will ensure the public does not bear the cost burden. At a minimum, an estimate of the rehabilitation costs and long-term monitoring and pumping costs should be identified in the financial impact analysis to provide the municipalities with an estimated cost that may be incurred in the future.	Rehabilitation, Long-term Monitoring, and Pumping Costs	Watson & Associates	Dufferin has proposed to amend the agreements with CH to ensure there are no public costs associated. There is no risk of public liability once these agreements are amended in that respect.	Although the statement may be true, the Terms of Reference request an estimate of the long-term rehab and monitoring costs and pumping costs. We understand it is not to be construed as a direct cost to the municipality but should be provided for full information. Based on discussions with the applicant during the technical review meeting on January 23, 2023, the applicant is working with Conservation Halton on an agreement that is confidential. Through correspondence with Conservation Halton, there is an agreement with respect to the costs for rehab/monitoring of the current site. They are satisfied that any additional costs will be captured in an amended agreement.
4.	In estimating the assessment to be generated from the expansion of the quarry, Altus undertook a survey of various quarries. In general, Watson would agree with this approach, however, it would be more appropriate to survey quarries in the area, rather than quarries in other municipalities. As part of the Assessment Act, section 44 (3) (b) notes that land valuation will have reference to the value of similar lands in the vicinity and make adjustments to maintain equity with these lands. As a result, a survey of quarry properties in the vicinity should be undertaken in estimating the assessed value. A survey undertaken by Watson is shown below, along with a map of the area surveyed. Area surveyed:	Assessment Assumptions	Watson & Associates	The Watson assessment sample is roughly \$200 per acre different (or less than 1% different) than the Altus sample. We are unsure of the rationale for excluding the 3030 Ament Line based on site size – the subject site is 163 acres – the 40 acre site at 3030 Ament is closer in size to the subject site than some of the other parcels in the sample. The \$71,000 / \$80,000 per acre assessment at 3030 Ament Line is roughly half the assessment value per acre The sample provided by Watson includes an entry (Burlington, 111.4 acres) shown twice. Under our model, a decrease in assessment value on one hand would result in a decrease in property tax revenues, but would also result in an estimated decrease in operating costs. It is noted that the discussions of municipal financial impact on property tax revenues and assessment values (as well as operating costs) are being significantly overblown relative to their importance to the Town of Halton Hill's financial picture.	The December 7, 2022 Altus report provides a sensitivity analysis to include the calculations based on Watson's approach. As such, Watson has no further questions. General Commentary Altus utilized \$16,622 per acre for 40.9 acres and \$19,084 per acre for 122.8 acres which provides for an overall assessment per acre of \$18,468. Watson's suggestion was to provide a range, which Altus did using their figures at the high-end and \$11,330 (based on the Halton Hills average) at the low end. The main reason for the exclusion of Ament Line is that it is a significant outlier (over 300% higher than the average) but it is also over 80km away. As noted in our comments, "As part of the Assessment Act, section 44 (3) (b) notes that land valuation will have reference to the value of similar lands in the vicinity and make adjustments to maintain equity with these lands". This is why we believe our approach to be more reasonable and in-line with the Assessment Act. With respect to the Burlington site being shown twice, apologies. This has been corrected and



Survey of Properties with MPAC Property Code 593 (Gravel Pit, Quarry, Sand Pit)

Address	Municipality	Assessed	Area	Assessed	
Address	Mullicipality	Value	(acres)	Value/ Acre	
834 BROCK RD	Hamilton	\$6,061,000	666.35	\$9,096	
3333 DERRY RD	Milton	\$1,506,000	99.76	\$15,096	
DUBLIN LINE	Milton	\$11,980,000	772.82	\$15,502	
9410 DUBLIN LINE	Halton Hills	\$5,156,000	393.74	\$13,095	
10371 ESQ-NASS TWN LINE	Halton Hills	\$31,000	2.26	\$13,717	
10379 ESQ-NASS TWN LINE	Halton Hills	\$12,400	0.83	\$14,940	
10391 ESQ-NASS TWN LINE	Halton Hills	\$14,900	1.00	\$14,900	
10413 ESQ-NASS TWN LINE	Halton Hills	\$15,000	1.00	\$15,000	
10461 ESQ-NASS TWN LINE	Halton Hills	\$127,000	8.49	\$14,959	
10479 ESQ-NASS TWN LINE	Halton Hills	\$1,979,000	240.00	\$8,246	
1775 KING RD	Burlington	\$1,652,000	111.16	\$14,861	
NASS-ESQ TWN LINE	Milton	\$2,507,000	164.45	\$15,245	
NASS-ESQ TWN LINE	Milton	\$30,000	2.00	\$15,000	
NASS-ESQ TWN LINE	Milton	\$36,000	2.41	\$14,938	
10262 NASS-ESQ TWN LINE	Milton	\$131,000	8.75	\$14,971	
10380 NASS-ESQ TWN LINE	Milton	\$75,000	5.00	\$15,000	
10446 NASS-ESQ TWN LINE	Milton	\$568,000	41.00	\$13,854	
10494 NASS-ESQ TWN LINE	Milton	\$514,000	44.94	\$11,437	
1775 KING RD	Burlington	\$1,652,000	111.16	\$14,861	
2435 NO 2 SIDE RD	Burlington	\$9,029,000	540.40	\$16,708	
SECOND LINE	Milton	\$2,140,000	204.61	\$10,459	
3488 TREMAINE RD	Burlington	\$1,861,000	94.90	\$19,610	
7204 WALKERS LINE	Milton	\$1,325,000	98.00	\$13,520	
7384 WALKERS LINE	Milton	\$3,332,000	220.40	\$15,118	
1570 YORKTON CRT*	Burlington	\$12,693,000	83.66	\$151,721	
Total		\$51,734,300	3,835.43	\$13,489	
	_				
	Hamilton	\$6,061,000	666.35	\$9,096	
	B. 4°D	004444000	4 004 44	044 500	

	Hamilton	\$6,061,000	666.35	\$9,096
Cummon by Municipality	Milton	\$24,144,000	1,664.14	\$14,508
Summary by Municipality	Burlington	\$14,194,000	857.62	\$16,550
	Halton Hills	\$7,335,300	647.32	\$11,332

If the survey of all properties in the area is utilized, the average assessed value per acre is \$13,490. However, if only Halton Hills properties are utilized, the value per acre is \$11,330. Note, this amount would apply to the entire property (i.e. all tax classes), whereas the Altus approach was to identify

Our November 2021 report estimated that the Town of Halton Hills would receive approximately \$10,600 in additional property tax revenues per year. This is 0.02% of total Town of Halton Hills annual property tax revenues in 2019 (\$50.3 million).

The incremental operating cost revenues from our November 2021 report (\$1,382) represent just 0.002% of the Town's total 2019 operating expenditures (\$78.0 million).

Under any set of assessment or operating cost assumptions, the fiscal impact of the approval in terms of tax revenues and operating cost impacts will barely even qualify as being negligible in either direction.

The November 2021 report estimates \$697,800 in aggregate levy fees per year. This represents 0.89% of the Town's annual operating expenditures, and are roughly 500-times higher than the estimated annual operating cost implications of the proposal.

changes the overall average assessment per acre from \$13,489 to \$13,448 and the average for Burlington from \$16,550 to \$16,802. This does not impact Watson's suggestion to use the average for Halton Hills in a range.

We do not believe the impact on tax revenues is being "overblown" but rather are requesting that the calculations be provided in line with the Assessment Act such that the municipalities understand the full financial picture, as is the purpose of this exercise. We understand that the calculations are estimates and may be minor, which is why a range was suggested.

different assessed values for different parts of the property.

If the data Altus presented were to be used, then there are a few discrepancies between the data presented and the data retrieved by Watson:

Altus Report

Address	Municipality	Assesed Value	Site Size (Acres)	Assessment Value/Acre
7237 Wellington Rd 124	Guelph/Eramosa	\$1,949,000	222	\$8,779
600 Highway 5 W	Hamilton	\$8,065,000	207	\$39,027
9410 Dublin Line	Halton Hills	\$5,156,000	394	\$13,095
2433 No.2 Sideroad	Burlington	\$9,028,400	546	\$16,535
822 Rest Acres Rd	Brant	\$1,167,000	119	\$9,819
4459 Concession 7	Puslinch	\$2,456,000	100	\$24,560
437075 4th Line	Melancthon	\$1,297,000	50	\$26,139
3030 Ament Line	Wellesley	\$2,891,000	40	\$71,489
Total		\$32,009,400	1678	\$19,084

Watson Check

Address	Municipality	Assesed Value	Site Size (Acres)	Assessment Value/Acre
7237 Wellington Rd 124	Guelph/Eramosa	\$1,949,000	222	\$8,779
600 Highway 5 W	Hamilton	\$8,065,000	206.65	\$39,027
9410 Dublin Line	Halton Hills	\$5,156,000	393.74	\$13,095
2433 No.2 Sideroad	Burlington	\$9,029,000	540.4	\$16,708
822 Rest Acres Rd	Brant	\$1,167,000	118.85	\$9,819
4459 Concession 7	Puslinch	\$2,456,000	100	\$24,560
437075 4th Line	Melancthon	\$516,000	49.62	\$10,399
3030 Ament Line	Wellesley	\$3,241,000	40.44	\$80,143
Total		\$31,579,000	1672	\$18,890

Source: MPAC data - Accessed January 17, 2022

As presented in the table above, the assessed values and acres are slightly different for three of the sample quarries. This results in a minor reduction in the assessment per acre calculations (\$19,084 to \$18,890). In addition to the above, it would appear that the assessed value of the 3030 Ament Line property is an outlier in the data (in terms of assessed value per acre, especially when compared to the Melancthon quarry which is a similar size but approximately one-third of the assessed value per acre). As a result, it should be excluded from the average assessed value calculations. When this property is excluded, the assessment per acre decreases to \$17,370 (rounded).

In preparing the analysis a range of assessed values may be included with a low of \$11,330 of assessment per acre (Watson approach) and a high of \$17,370 of assessment per acre (Altus approach, adjusted).

When applying the \$17,370 per acre to the areas provided in Figure 3 of the Altus Report, this reduces the estimated increase in the total assessed value from a gain of \$302,250 (Altus calculations) to a gain of \$53,910. When utilizing the \$11,330 per acre, the decrease is now reduced to a loss of \$867,600 in assessment.

Currently the proposed quarry extension is assessed as 100% residential, however, the analysis assumes that all of the licensed area of the extension would be assessed as industrial, and the remaining area would be assessed as farmland/managed forest.

This results in the following breakdown:

Tax Class Assumptions Watson & Associates

Licensed pits and quarries are assessed by MPAC as industrial as soon as there is any site disturbance. As the proposed extraction footprint is relatively small, the assumption is the site will be assessed industrial upon commencement of extraction.

The subject lands is greater than 1.1km away from any sensitive uses. Even if MPAC

The December 7, 2022 Altus report provides a sensitivity analysis to include the calculations based on Watson's approach. As such, Watson has no further questions.

General Commentary

O.Reg. 282/98 to the Assessment Act provides the following:

Current Quarry Assessment

	mont equality	, 1000001110111	
			Share of
		Assessed	Assessed
Tax Class	Tax Code	Value	Value
Residential	RT	2,721,000	100%
Farmland	FT	-	0%
Managed Forests	TT	-	0%
Industrial	IT	-	0%
Total		2,721,000	

East Extension Assumptions as per Altus Report

		THE GO POT THE	
			Share of
		Assessed	Assessed
Tax Class	Tax Code	Value	Value
Residential	RT	•	0%
Farmland	FT	340,125	11%
Managed Forests	TT	340,125	11%
Industrial	IT	2,342,996	77%
Total		3,023,246	

Based on the Assessment Act, it would appear that the industrial assessment (IT) applies only to the extraction area, residential assessment (RT) would apply to the remaining licensed area, and any remaining lands would be assessed based on use (50% farmland (FT) and 50% managed forests (TT) may be a fair assumption). This is provided in the following diagram:

Total Site Area			
Assumed FT / TT			
	Licensed Area		
	Assumed RT		
		Extraction Area	
		Assumed IT	

We would note that this would be a fair assumption as the actual assessment class would depend on the use as per the Assessment Act. For example, if the use is farming by a bona-fide registered tenant farmer then it might be FT otherwise, if farmed it could be RT at farmland assessment rates. The same would apply for the Managed Forest portions if the owner applies to the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry for the TT tax class consideration.

It should also be noted that the Altus report has utilized the Managed Forest tax rate for the FT/TT category. It is unclear from the report what the share of land at the extension would be between these two categories, however the Managed Forest tax rate is higher than the Farmland tax rate for both the Region and Town. Given that the Altus report has applied the higher Managed Forest tax rate to the entire area, the relative share of these two classes should be clarified and the appropriate tax rates

devalued properties within 1 km away, there would be no impact as a result of this site.

Any impact to property values (perceived or otherwise), they've been impacted by existing quarry operations already

No additional properties affected by this that are not already affected by the existing operations.

The Watson suggestions regarding assessment values were tested for sensitivity purposes for their potential impact on the findings of the November 2021 report, and are found to have minimal impact in the absolute amount of tax revenues.

Residential

3(1) 3. For the 2000 and subsequent taxation years, the **portion of land that is licensed** or required to be licensed under Part II of the Aggregate Resources Act **that is not in the farm property class or the industrial property class**.

<u>Industrial</u>

- 6(2) The following are included in the industrial property class: ...
- ... 2.2 For the 2000 and subsequent taxation years, the portion of,
- i. **land that is licensed** or required to be licensed under Part II of the Aggregate Resources Act, or
- ii. land that would be required to be licensed under Part II of the Aggregate Resources Act if the land were in a part of Ontario designated under section 5 of that Act.

that is used for,

- iii. extracting anything from the earth,
- iv. excavating,
- v. processing extracted or excavated material,
- vi. stockpiling extracted or excavated material, or
- vii. stockpiling overburden.

Based on the above, it would be a fair assumption that the extraction area (presumably used for extracting and excavating) would be industrial, the remaining licensed area would be residential, and the remainder of the site area would be based on actual use (50% Farm and 50% Managed Forest is reasonable, as provided by Altus). As noted, Altus provided this calculation in their sensitivity analysis.

should be applied to the respective assessment. For the purposes of the analysis shown below, it is			
assumed that the unlicensed lands would be 50% FT and 50% TT.			
In conjunction with the reduced assessment per acre assumptions, this would provide the following breakdown of tax classes for each of the extensions:			
East Extension Assumptions Revised: Low Assessment Assumptions			
Low Assessment Assumptions Share of			
Assessed Assessed			
Tax Class Tax Code Value Value Residential RT 400,358 21.6%			
Farmland FT 503,947 27.2%			
Managed Forests TT 503,947 27.2%			
Industrial IT 445,153 24.0% Total 1,853,404			
Total Millimit 1,000,101 Millimitelli			
High Assessment Assumptions			
Share of Tax Assessed Assessed			
Tax Assessed Assessed Tax Class Code Value Value			
Residential RT 613,788 22.1%			
Farmland FT 739,329 26.6%			
Managed Forests TT 739,329 26.6% Industrial IT 682,463 24.6%			
Total 2,774,910			
The tay notes for recidential maneration are lever there industrial for both the Decision and the Town Ac-			
The tax rates for residential properties are lower than industrial for both the Region and the Town. As a result, a shift from industrial assessment to residential assessment will reduce the anticipated tax			
revenue received by the Region and the Town.			
The anticipated revenue from the extension would be approximately 55% lower than calculated in the Altus Report for the Region and the Town (or \$15,700 as compared to \$35,000) for the high scenario			
(Altus approach, adjusted) and approximately 71% lower than calculated in the Altus Report (or			
\$10,300 as compared to \$35,000) for the low scenario (Watson approach).			
The calculation of the aggregate levy revenues to the municipalities is completed correctly, however,	Annual Watson	See response to #2 above.	Confirmed in December 7, 2022 submission, no
it remains unclear on whether the 5,500,000 tonnes of aggregate extraction is in addition to current	Aggregate Levy Associat	•	further questions at this time.
operations. If the extraction amounts are the same before and after the extension (i.e. the extraction	Fees	There would be a net increase in revenue as	'
from the new quarry extension will replace the amounts from the existing site), there would be no		compared to the scenario where the approval is not given and the existing quarry is	
increase in revenues to the municipalities. Further, if this extraction amount is not in addition to current operations, it is unclear what the impacts on the Town of Milton would be as a result of the		exhausted.	
relocation of extraction from Milton to Halton Hills. It is suggested that this be clarified.			
In estimating the impacts to the municipalities' budgets, a review of incremental operating	Net Additional Watson	Re: 2019 FIR – see response to #2 above as	Confirmed in December 7, 2022 submission, no
expenditures (net of revenues) was undertaken. The basis for the operating expenditures is the	Municipal Associat	in the contract of the contrac	further questions at this time.
Region and Town's FIRs. This is consistent with the information that Watson would utilize in this	Expenditures	The assignment of cost shares based on	Conoral Commenters
analysis, however it is suggested that the data used be updated to reflect the most recent FIR data available.		population and employment is not considered	General Commentary Although the Altus approach utilized assessment
		appropriate as there will be little on-site employment (which is what the employment	to establish the residential and non-residential
Expenditures have been allocated to the non-residential sector based on the non-residential sector's		counts would be based on). A significant	shares (rather than Watson's preferred approach
proportionate share of assessment within the Town. This approach is not typically how Watson would apportion costs as the rationale for applying the shares based on assessment is unclear. For		proportion of the employment generated on-	of per capita and per employee), Watson conducted a high-level review of the calculations
apportion cools as the rationals for applying the shales based on assessment is unlocal. For		site would be "no fixed place of work"	consactor a might level review of the calculations

example, with respect to roads services, it is unclear how assessment shares relate to the share of the use of roads. Typically, Watson would apportion costs based on the ratio of existing population to employment as this is more indicative of the usage of services. Based on existing population and employment figures for Halton Hills, the non-residential share of costs would be approximately 25% as opposed to the 16% utilized in the analysis.

The Altus Report uses incremental property assessment to estimate the change in operating expenditures. This approach is not typically utilized by Watson; however, it was noted that this approach has been used and accepted at the LPAT (now known as the OLT). As a result, Watson would not comment on the validity of this approach.

Within Altus' analysis, an assumed growth factor is used to identify how each service's expenditures would change with the addition of the development (and corresponding loss of the existing properties). Further rationale on the growth factor for Roads and Winter Control should be provided. It is assumed that for every dollar of assessment gained, the operating cost of roads and winter control services will increase proportionately, however, it is unclear whether increased truck traffic would lead to a further increase in costs. If the truck traffic is a continuation of existing levels, this should be identified.

8. Change to Municipal Revenues

Net Change to Municipal Fiscal Position

Section 3.1 of the Altus report provides for the net fiscal impact on the Town's budget. A net impact should also be provided for the Region's fiscal position. In addition, financial impacts to the Town of Milton should also be noted here (e.g. changes to aggregate levy revenues, changes to operating expenditures etc.).

Three categories of impacts were included: property taxes, aggregate levy, and operating expenditures. The following provides a summary of the items that were and/or should have been considered in the net fiscal impact:

- 1. Property Taxes: Altus Report assumed an increase in tax revenue of \$10,616 for the Town of Halton Hills. As noted in section 2 of this report, it is anticipated there would be a decrease in property tax revenue relative to this amount.
- 2. Aggregate Levy: Altus Report assumed incremental aggregate levy revenues of \$697,840 for the Town of Halton Hills. As noted in section 3.2.4 of this report, this is based on an extraction amount of 5,500,000 tonnes. It is unclear if this tonnage is incremental to what is currently being extracted from the existing quarry and what these impacts are on the Town of Milton.
- 3. Operating Expenditures: As noted in section 3.2.5 of this report, it appears the increase in operating expenditures was understated. This increase in operating expenditures should have a larger impact on the overall fiscal position of the Town.
- 4. Overall Net Fiscal Impact: Based on the above, it would appear that the net fiscal impact on the Town's budgets may be less positive than what has been identified in the Altus report. As noted, commentary should also be provided on the overall net fiscal impact for the Region and the Town of Milton.

employment.

The assumption regarding the "Growth Factor" for roads and winter control has been revised to be consistent with the assumption made on the aggregate levy fees. In the absence of approval, the operating costs for roads would decrease – therefore, the approval would result in incremental operating costs. See attached Altus memo.

and would note based on Watson's assessment assumptions discussed previously, this would result in a loss of assessment which would result in no additional operating costs.

Watson tested Altus' assumption of increased assessment values on the operating expenditure analysis and would suggest the potential incremental operating expenses would increase by \$776 to \$2,159 for Halton Hills. Overall, even with this additional expenditure, the overall net impact to the Town's budget would remain positive. A similar observation can be applied to the Region's budgets.

Section 3.1 Watson & Associates

From a Regional perspective there are no services that are being provided that would result in a cost to the Region.

Given that the existing operation located on lands within the Town of Milton will cease, the net fiscal impact on the Town are not necessarily relevant for consideration, as they will occur regardless of whether the extension (within Town of Halton Hills) is approved or not.

However, once final rehabilitation is complete, the 421 hectares of land within the Town of Milton and the Town of Halton Hills will be conveyed to Conservation Halton for longterm public use.

The value of the conveyance would not be accounted for in a measurement of fiscal impacts, but presents a substantial value to the public.

Confirmed in December 7, 2022 submission, no further questions at this time.

Although no detailed operating analysis was presented for the Region, similar to with the Town, if the Altus assumptions were utilized, the incremental operating expenditures would be minimal relative to the incremental tax revenue and a minor positive impact to the budget would be realized. If the Watson assumptions on assessment were utilized, this would result in an overall decrease in tax revenue of \$2,623 with no impact on the operating budget.

No further questions at this time.

replaced with portable processing plants, in which case only 2,000,000 tonnes would be shipped annually, as opposed to the 5,500,000 previously identified. The report notes that the only impact would be a reduction in aggregate levy revenues, however, it remains unclear as to whether the	Section 3.2	Watson & Associates	The Altus memo attached reports the results based on 2 million tonnes per year a longer extraction period, or 5.5 million tonnes per year and a shorter extraction period.	Confirmed in December 7, 2022 submission, no further questions at this time. See comments above.
The Altus Report estimates the economic impacts of the quarry expansion using the standard Input-Output model. This approach estimates the impacts using multiplier data from Statistics Canada. The anticipated employment, wages, and taxes are estimated based on the assumed Gross Domestic Product from sales of 5,500,000 tonnes of aggregate per year. In general, the approach to the calculations appears valid and consistent with the approach Watson would undertake. However, the economic impact of the ongoing operations (section 4.2.4 of the Altus report) should clarify that this would be a continuation of the existing levels of economic activity and not incremental to the existing operations. The model assumptions in section 4.2.2 of the Altus report indicates that the aggregate amount of 5,500,000 tonnes would be produced for 2.5 years. Clarification on whether the quarry will cease operations after this point in time should be provided.	General	Watson & Associates	This would be a continuation of existing economic activity, and would be incremental to a scenario where the proposed quarry extension is not approved.	Confirmed in December 7, 2022 submission, no further questions at this time. See comments above.
direct operation of the quarry. It is unclear whether this figure is based on an annual production level of 5,500,000 tonnes. If this is the case, then a similar sensitivity analysis should also be undertaken on the economic impact if only 2,000,000 tonnes are extracted annually.	General	Watson &	Dufferin has proposed to amend the	The Terms of Reference requests the following:
1. To demonstrate that the proposal will have a minimal negative financial impact on the Region or taxpayers from the cost of providing services such as road maintenance, long term monitoring and replacement water supplies among other matters. Watson Review The financial impact analysis concludes that road improvements are not needed as a result of the extension, however, it does not fully address the financial impact on the road network due to increased truck traffic (if applicable). The study notes that the operating expenditures related to roads would have a growth factor of 100%, however if there is an increase in truck traffic, the growth factor may need to be increased above 100%. Any potential increases to the growth factor as a result of increased truck traffic should be confirmed and incorporated into the analysis. With respect to water supply, the report addresses the responsibility of providing for ongoing monitoring and mitigation costs, however, it should be noted that should the property owner become bankrupt, the cost may fall to the municipalities. As a result, an estimate of the ongoing monitoring and pumping costs should be identified in the financial impact analysis. 2. To demonstrate that extraction will occur in a manner that minimizes social, economic and environmental impacts.	General	Associates	agreements with CH to ensure there are no public costs associated. There is no risk of public liability once these agreements are amended in that respect.	"To identify the potential cost of any long-term monitoring and mitigation on the site and the responsibility for that monitoring and the liability to any public authority or agency associated with that responsibility" We understand it is not to be construed as a direct cost to the municipality but should be provided for full information. Based on discussions with the applicant during the technical review meeting on January 23, 2023, the applicant is working with Conservation Halton on an agreement that is confidential. Through correspondence with Conservation Halton, there is an agreement with respect to the costs for rehab/monitoring of the current site. They are satisfied that any additional costs will be captured in an amended agreement.
	Section 3.2 of the Altus report indicates that the main processing plant may need to be removed and replaced with portable processing plants, in which case only 2,000,000 tonnes would be shipped annually, as opposed to the 5,500,000 previously identified. The report notes that the only impact would be a reduction in aggregate levy revenues, however, it remains unclear as to whether the 2,000,000 tonnes is incremental or is replacing an existing extraction amount. This should be clarified. Economic Impacts The Altus Report estimates the economic impacts of the quarry expansion using the standard Input-Output model. This approach estimates the impacts using multiplier data from Statistics Canada. The anticipated employment, wages, and taxes are estimated based on the assumed Gross Domestic Product from sales of 5,500,000 tonnes of aggregate per year. In general, the approach to the calculations appears valid and consistent with the approach Watson would undertake. However, the economic impact of the ongoing operations (section 4.2.4 of the Altus report) should clarify that this would be a continuation of the existing levels of economic activity and not incremental to the existing operations. The model assumptions in section 4.2.2 of the Altus report indicates that the aggregate amount of 5,500,000 tonnes would be produced for 2.5 years. Clarification on whether the quarry will cease operations after this point in time should be provided. Figure 10 in the Altus report indicates that 242 full-time equivalent jobs would be supported through direct operation of the quarry. It is unclear whether this figure is based on an annual production level of 5,500,000 tonnes. If this is the case, then a similar sensitivity analysis should also be undertaken on the economic impact if only 2,000,000 tonnes are extracted annually. Purpose of the Financial Impact Study 1. To demonstrate that the proposal will have a minimal negative financial impact on the Region or taxpayers from the cost of providing services such as road m	Section 3.2 of the Altus report indicates that the main processing plant may need to be removed and replaced with portable processing plants, in which case only 2,000,000 tonnes would be shipped annually, as opposed to the 5,500,000 previously identified. The report notes that the only impact would be a reduction in aggregate levy revenues, however, it remains unclear as to whether the 2,000,000 tonnes is incremental or is replacing an existing extraction amount. This should be clarified. Economic Impacts The Altus Report estimates the economic impacts of the quarry expansion using the standard Input-Output model. This approach estimates the impacts using multiplier data from Statistics Canada. The anticipated employment, wages, and taxes are estimated based on the assumed Gross Domestic Product from sales of 5,500,000 tonnes of aggregate per year. In general, the approach to the calculations appears valid and consistent with the approach Walson would undertake. However, the calculations appears valid and consistent with the approach Walson would undertake. However, the continuation of the existing levels of economic activity and not incremental to the existing operations. The model assumptions in section 4.2.2 of the Altus report indicates that the aggregate amount of 5,500,000 tonnes would be produced for 2.5 years. Clarification on whether the quarry will cease operations after this point in time should be provided. Figure 10 in the Altus report indicates that 242 full-time equivalent jobs would be supported through direct operation of the quarry. It is unclear whether this figure is based on an annual production level of 5,500,000 tonnes. If this is the case, then a similar sensitivity analysis should also be undertaken on the economic impact if only 2,000,000 tonnes are extracted annually. Purpose of the Financial Impact study 1. To demonstrate that the proposal will have a minimal negative financial impact on the Region or taxpayers from the cost of providing services such as road maintenance, long	Section 3.2 of the Altus report indicates that the main processing plant may need to be removed and replaced with portable processing plants, in which case only 2.000.000 tonnes would be shipped annually, as opposed to the 5.500.000 previously identified. The report notes that the only impact would be a reduction in aggregate levy revenues, however, it remains unclear as to whether the 2.000.000 tonnes is incremental or is replacing an existing extraction amount. This should be clarified. Economic Impacts The Altus Report estimates the economic impacts of the quarry expansion using the standard Input-Output model. This approach estimates the impacts using multiplier data from Statistics Canada. The anticipated employment, wages, and taxes are estimated based on the assumed Gross Domestic Product from sales of 5.500.000 tonnes of aggregate per year. In general, the approach to the calculations appears valid and consistent with the approach Walson would undertake. However, the economic impact of the ongoing operations (section 4.2.4 of the Altus report) should clarify that this would be a continuation of the existing levels of economic activity and not incremental to the existing operations. The model assumptions in section 4.2.2 of the Altus report indicates that the aggregate amount of 5,500,000 tonnes would be produced for 2.5 years. Clarification on whether the quarry will cease operations after this point in time should be provided. Figure 10 in the Altus report indicates that 242 full-time equivalent jobs would be supported through direct operation of the quarry. It is unclear whether this figure is based on an annual production level of 5,500,000 tonnes. If this is the case, then a similar sensitivity analysis should also be undertaken on the economic impact if only 2,000,000 tonnes are extracted annually. Purpose of the Financial Impact Study The financial impact analysis concludes that road improvements are not needed as a result of the extension, however, it does not fully address the financial im	Section 3.2 of the Altus report indicates that the main processing plant may need to be removed and replaced with portable processing plants, in which case only 2,000,000 tonnes would be shipped annually, as opposed to the 5,500,000 previously identified. The report notes that the only impact would be a reduction in aggregate lavy revenues, however, it remains unclear as to whether the 2,000,000 tonnes is incremental or is replacing an existing extraction amount. This should be clarified. Economic Impacts Ceneral This would be a continuation of existing extraction amount. This should be incremental to a scoranion where the proposed quarry extension is not approved. The Altus Report estimates the economic impacts of the quarry expansion using the standard Input-Output model. This approach estimates the impacts using multiplier data from Statistics Canada. The anticipated employment, wages, and taxes are estimated based on the assumed forsos Domestic Product from sales of 5,500,000 tonnes and the approach Walson would undertake, thoever, the would be and of the ending levels of economic activity and not incremental to the existing operations. The model assumptions in section 4.2.2 of the Altus report indicates that the aggregate amount of 5,500,000 tonnes would be produced for 2.5 years. Clarification on whether the quarry will cease operations and the purply it is in because them the figure is based on an annual production level of 5,500,000 tonnes would be produced for 2.5 years. Clarification on whether the quarry will cease operations from the purply it is undersary that the figure is because the second of the purply it is undersary that the figure is because the second of the purply it is undersary to the purple of the purple is the purple is possible to the figure of the purple is the purple is the figure is because the second of the purple is the purple is purple is the purple is the purple is the purple

	I			
3. To demonstrate that there will be no public costs associated with the proposal throughout				
extraction, complete rehabilitation and any long-term continuing mitigation and monitoring				
requirements, and to demonstrate that there will be adequate securities put in place, through an				
agreement or legislation, to ensure that the public and agencies will not be put at financial risk as a				
consequence of the approval.				
<u>Watson Review</u>				
In our opinion, the study demonstrates that additional public costs will be associated with the				
application through increased operating expenditures, however these will be partially offset by				
increased aggregate levy revenues and other revenues.				
The field impact analysis does not identify how the applicant will analyze the public does not bear the				
The fiscal impact analysis does not identify how the applicant will ensure the public does not bear the cost burden of long-term monitoring, pumping, and rehabilitation of the site. At a minimum, the				
analysis should include an estimate of the rehabilitation cost as well as the cost of ongoing long-term				
monitoring and pumping.				
nomicining and pamping.				
4. To demonstrate to what degree the proposal will create direct and indirect financial benefits or				
costs to the municipalities affected.				
Watson Review				
As noted above, the study notes anticipated revenues and costs, however, adjustments to the values				
provided in the report are recommended.				
5. To demonstrate what financial benefits to the community may be created as a consequence of the				
approval.				
approvan				
Watson Review				
The study does provide that a number of indirect jobs may be created as a result of the proposed				
extension.	_			
Objectives of the Financial Impact Study	General	Watson &	Please see attached Altus memo which contains additional analysis related to each of	See notes above.
1. To quantify the amount of assessment to be generated as a consequence of the approval of the		Associates	these items where applicable.	
application (compared to loss of existing use i.e. farmland).			those terms where applicable.	
application (compared to loss of existing use i.e. farmana).				
Watson Review				
The analysis provides for the change in assessment and tax revenue estimates as a result of the				
application, however, as mentioned above, adjustments should be made to the values utilized in the				
analysis.				
O. Talidant's and at the exercision and many ha				
2. To identify what the economic impacts may be.				
Watson Review				
The report provides a discussion on the economic impacts; however refinements have been noted.				
The state of the s				
3. To estimate how much in license fees will be provided to the affected municipalities.				
<u>Watson Review</u>				
This information was included in the study; however, it appears the study includes outdated rates.				
This information was included in the study; however, it appears the study includes outdated rates. These should be updated.				
These should be updated.				

Watson Review It remains unclear on whether the quarry extension will lead to increased truck traffic. If there is an increase, there is no commentary or analysis with respect to the impacts on the broader road network due to this. If there is no additional truck traffic as the 5.5 million tonnes is not incremental, this should be clarified.
5. To determine whether the proposal, if approved, will impact on the timing and/or need for road improvements to be paid for by the municipality.
Watson Review The study indicates that additional road improvements are not required, however, this should be reviewed in concert with the peer review being conducted on the traffic impact analysis.
6. To identify the financial benefits that may occur generally as a consequence of the approval (i.e. TOARC payments for road improvements).
Watson Review The study generally speaks to the financial benefits subject to the refinements noted earlier in this memo.
7. To identify the potential cost of any long-term monitoring and mitigation on the site and the responsibility for that monitoring and the liability to any public authority or agency associated with that responsibility.
Watson Review Although the study identifies that the applicant is responsible for the long-term monitoring and mitigation, the study does not identify what these costs would be. At a minimum, the cost for rehabilitation of the site and ongoing monitoring and pumping costs should be estimated, in the event the cost becomes the responsibility of the municipality (e.g. through bankruptcy).